

**FILED**

**OCT 01 2007**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RAMON ARTURO FONSECA-URIAS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-30420

D.C. No. CR-05-00197-WFN

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Washington  
Wm. Fremming Nielsen, Senior Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 24, 2007<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: CANBY, TASHIMA, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Ramon Arturo Fonseca-Urias appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 27-month sentence for being an alien in the United States after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Fonseca-Urias's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no meritorious issues for review, and a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our examination of the brief and our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83-84 (1988), disclose no arguable issues for review on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.